

University of Dundee

ADD-up

Plüss, Brian; El-Assady, Mennatallah; Sperrle, Fabian; Gold, Valentin; Budzynska, Katarzyna; Hautli-Janisz, Annette

Published in:

Computational Models of Argument - Proceedings of COMMA 2018

DOI:

[10.3233/978-1-61499-906-5-471](https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-906-5-471)

Publication date:

2018

Licence:

CC BY-NC

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Plüss, B., El-Assady, M., Sperrle, F., Gold, V., Budzynska, K., Hautli-Janisz, A., & Reed, C. (2018). ADD-up: Visual analytics for augmented deliberative democracy. In S. Modgil, K. Budzynska, J. Lawrence, & K. Budzynska (Eds.), *Computational Models of Argument - Proceedings of COMMA 2018* (Vol. 305, pp. 471-472). (Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications; Vol. 305). IOS Press. <https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-906-5-471>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

ADD-up: Visual Analytics for Augmented Deliberative Democracy

Brian PLÜSS^a, Mennatallah EL-ASSADY^b, Fabian SPERRLE^b, Valentin GOLD^c,
Katarzyna BUDZYNSKA^{d,a}, Annette HAUTLI-JANISZ^b and Chris REED^a

^aCentre for Argument Technology, University of Dundee, UK

^bUniversity of Konstanz, Germany

^cUniversity of Goettingen, Germany

^dCentre for Argument Technology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland

Abstract. We demonstrate the first prototype of the ADD-up visual analytics system. The Augmented Deliberative Democracy (ADD-up) project aims to enhance public deliberations by providing argument analytics in real time. The system will ultimately take a stenographic feed of a public deliberation meeting, automatically extract the arguments therein and project visual analytics intended to improve the deliberative quality of the event.

Keywords. Argument Analytics, Public Deliberations, Deliberative Democracy

The inclusion of citizens in political processes has gained attention in recent times, mainly due to an increased demand by citizens to *have a say and get heard* in political decisions. Consequently, new methods have been proposed to put citizens and public stakeholders in (partial) decision-making authority. One such method is public deliberation, in which citizens and politicians come together to discuss and find consensus on controversial issues. Currently, there is a lack of argumentation and visual analytics systems that can be applied to such face-to-face public events. While existing systems can only be applied to computer-mediated online debates [1,2], research suggests that problem-solving abilities increase when using argumentation mapping software [3]. The goal of the ADD-up project is to transfer the advantages of deliberation support systems to face-to-face dialogic deliberations.

We aim to build a visual intervention system to improve the quality of deliberative communication. Due to the spontaneous nature of face-to-face deliberations, the visual support must be as simple as possible while effectively guiding participants through their tasks. Simplicity is a key design requirement, to ensure that citizens can instantly grasp and interpret the information conveyed by the visualisations. Another challenge is designing the algorithms to balance between visual updates and deliberation coherence. The visual system must, on the one hand, carefully incorporate recent actions in the debate to incrementally process the argumentation and new content and, on the other hand, at any time reflect the current state of the deliberation. In the paper, we discuss the implementation of these two challenges.

The ADD-up system proposes an architecture that adds sophisticated argumentation and content analytics [4] to public deliberations, and supports participants in reaching consensus. Based on streamed argument annotations of a deliberation, the system pro-




Figure 1. Some of the visual analytics in the ADD-up system

duces real-time, incremental visual analytics (see Figure 1) that focus on each of the four dimensions of deliberative communication, i.e. participation, respect, justification, and accommodation [5]. For instance, the argument map in Figure 1a aims to enhance problem-solving abilities and to support finding a common ground by revealing the participants’ stance towards divisive issues. Overall, we aim for a large menu of different visualisations: then visual analytics can be selected to fit specific deliberative events.

In building ADD-up, we draw support from recent advances in computational models of argument and visual analytics, and present transformative technology to the rapidly expanding domain of deliberative communication. This demonstration showcases our first insights into the challenges and opportunities of bringing real-time visual analytics to face-to-face public deliberations.

Acknowledgments. We would like to acknowledge that the work reported in this paper has been supported in part by VW Foundation (VolkswagenStiftung) under grant 92 182.

References

[1] A. Wyner, K. Atkinson and T. Bench-Capon, Towards a structured online consultation tool, in: *International Conference on Electronic Participation*, Springer, 2011, pp. 286–297.

[2] M. Klein, A Critical Review of Crowd-Scale Online Deliberation Technologies, 2015, Available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2652888>.

[3] K.-L. Cho and D.H. Jonassen, The effects of argumentation scaffolds on argumentation and problem solving, *Educational Technology Research and Development* **50**(3) (2002), 5.

[4] J. Lawrence, R. Duthie, K. Budzysnka and C. Reed, Argument Analytics, in: *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Computational Models of Argument (COMMA 2016)*, P. Baroni, M. Stede and T. Gordon, eds, IOS Press, Berlin, 2016.

[5] V. Gold, M. El-Assady, A. Hautli-Janisz, T. Bögel, C. Rohrdantz, M. Butt, K. Holzinger and D. Keim, Visual linguistic analysis of political discussions: Measuring deliberative quality, *Digital Scholarship in the Humanities* **32**(1) (2017), 141–158.